

(3)
Chh

In the High Court of Judicature of Chhattisgarh At Bilaspur

W.P. 2683.../2003

BETWEEN

Petitioner

Dinker Rao Waretvar, aged about 47 years
S/o Late Ganpal Rao, R/o C/1 New Adarsh
Nagar, Durg. Occupation agriculture and
social worker, Durg Tah. & Distt. Durg (C.G.)

And

Respondents

State of Chhattisgarh
Through :-

P. R. No. 2349/03...
Presented by Shri. G. Hem. Pandey
dated 14.08.03....



1. Principal Secretary Ministry of
Forest and Environment
mantralaya D. K. Bhavan, Raipur
2. Principal Chief conservator
Forest Department, Raipur
3. Conservator forest
Circle, Durg (C.G.)
4. Senior superintendent, police,
Durg (C.G.)

Public interest writ petition under article 226 of the constitution of India.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.2683 सन् 2003

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>D.B: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE A.K.PATNAIK, C.J & HON'BLE SHRI DILIP RAOSAHEB DESHMUKH, J.</u> <u>24.08.2005</u> Mr. Anil Pandey, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Uttam Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sanjay S. Agrawal, G.A. for the State. Dr. N.K.Shukla, Senior Advocate with Ku. Ritu Mishra for the intervener. <u>W.P.No.2683/2003 & I.A.No.5462/2005</u> This writ petition had been entertained as a Public Interest Litigation (P.I.L.) as it was alleged in the petition that there was a large scale corruption with regard to purchase of gum in Daundi Lohara, Bakalitota/Gainji Societies in Durg in the year 2001-2002. In the writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a mandamus^{to} be issued for investigation into scam of purchase of gum by the C.B.I. and for directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to take departmental action against erring officials and to prosecute them. I.A.No.5462/2005 has been filed by the petitioner stating that after notice was issued by this Court by order dated 7.1.2004, the authorities have initiated an action against the erring officials and hence the Court may permit the petitioner to withdraw this writ petition and direct refund of the security amount. We find on a reading of the return filed on behalf of the intervener that a preliminary enquiry has been conducted into allegations and thereafter departmental enquiry has been initiated	

(पीछे देखिये)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -a-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	against four officers named in paragraph 2 of the said return. In paragraph-5 of the return filed on behalf of intervener, it is further stated that money which was advanced for purchase of gum and was misappropriated has already been recovered. A statement showing the details of money advanced and realized together with the physical verification has been annexed to the return filed on behalf of intervener as Annexure-IA-7. Since disciplinary proceedings have already been initiated against the concerned officers and the misappropriated money is stated to have been recovered, we do not see any good reason to continue with this Public Interest Litigation and we accordingly close the same and direct refund of the security deposit.	
	Sd/- Chief Justice	Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge

(पीछे देखिये)

13/9/06
30805